Student:	Completed by	:	Date:	
criteria), then add the values points for "Exceeds expecta	hin each criterion, check one box in each row (for each criterion (1 point for each box ations"). Minimum score per Criterion is 2 or 3, retotal score. Score ranges at bottom determine	under "Does not meet expectations," 2 point max is 6 or 9. At the end of your assessment, y	ts for each check box under "Meets expe	ectations,"
Criterion	Does not meet expectations = 1 points	Meets expectations = 2 points	Exceeds expectations = 3 points	Score
1. Demonstrated ability to apply in-depth knowledge of a specialized field to the design and execution of an original research question	a. ☐ Framing and context reflects limited understanding of subject and associated literature b. ☐ Demonstrates limited critical thinking skills c. ☐ Inadequate statement of hypotheses and/or objectives are poorly defined	a. ☐ Framing and context reflects adequate understanding of subject and associated literature b. ☐ Demonstrates adequate critical thinking skills c. ☐ Adequate statement of hypotheses and/or description of objectives	a. ☐ Framing and context reflects exceptional understanding of subject and associated literature b. ☐ Demonstrates excellent critical thinking skills c. ☐ Excellent statement of hypotheses and/or objectives are well defined	
2. Demonstrated ability to approach solutions of new problems with methodical and logical application of sound scientific methods and entomological principles	a.□ Ineffective research plan b.□ Statistical analyses not performed appropriately or incomplete	a. ☐ Adequate research plan b. ☐ Statistical analyses sufficient	a.□ Innovative research plan b.□ Highly competent statistical analyses	
3. Quality of writing	a.□ Organization is poor b.□ Writing is weak and/or unclear c.□ Numerous grammatical and spelling errors	a.□ Organization is good b.□ Writing is adequate c.□ Few grammatical and spelling errors	a.□ Organization is excellent b.□ Writing is excellent and clear c.□ No grammatical and spelling errors	
4. Interpretation, originality, and contribution to discipline	a.□ Inadequate interpretation b.□ Limited novelty c.□ Limited publication potential	a.□ Adequate interpretation b.□ Some novelty c.□ Good publication potential	a.□ Exceptional interpretation b.□ Exceptional novelty c.□ High profile publication potential and/or portions of research project already published	
5. Oral presentation	a. □ Presentation style ineffective b. □ Slides need improvement c. □ Significance of research unclear	a.□ Presentation style effective b.□ Slides adequately conveyed information c.□ Significance of research clear	a.□ Presentation style is exceptional b.□ Slides were very clear and exceptionally effective in conveying information c.□ Significance of research was exceptionally communicated	

Total score:

Overall judgment: 14-27: Does not meet expectations | 28-36: Meets expectations | 37-42: Exceeds expectations.

Comments (use back of page if necessary):