Development of Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup>: Assessment of Impact to Non-target Organisms Including Pollinators in the Insecticide Discovery Process

> James J. Rauh, Kristin E. Brugger, Axel Dinter E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.

> > First International Conference on Pollinator Biology, Health and Policy July 26<sup>th</sup>, 2010



### **New Product R & D Process**





# **Previous Discovery Process**



Broad-spectrum, residual, pre/early/post-emergence herbicides



# **Evolving Discovery Process**





# **Evolving Discovery Process**





# **Evolving Discovery Process**



### **Biochemical Target Identification (SoA)**



# **Current Discovery Process**





# **Current Discovery Process**





### **Drivers for Early Pollinator Testing**

- Regulatory requirements
  Directive 91/414/EEC
  Regulation (EC) 1107/2009
  US Reduced Risk
- Early identification of potential regulatory issues
- Save time in planning for appropriate higher tier testing
- Determine extent of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) fit
- "Customer" expectations around stewardship

Choose the best candidate molecule

### **Factors facilitating Early Testing**

- Testing should require minimal amounts of compound
- Testing should allow for more compounds to be screened
- Testing results need to be available in a useful timeframe
- Testing should be predictive/reliable indicator (standardized, regulatory protocols)



### Honey Bee Testing (Apis mellifera)



- Acute contact (topical application)
  - Screening test: adapted OECD 214
  - Technical material or formulation
  - Dose response testing (0.1 100 µg a.i./bee)
  - Measured parameters: mortality and behavior
  - Endpoints: 48-96h LD<sub>50</sub> (µg a.i./bee)



- Acute oral (dietary exposure)
  - Screening test: adapted OECD 213
  - Technical material or formulation
  - Dose response testing (0.1 -100 µg a.i./bee)
  - Measured parameters: mortality and behavior
  - Endpoint: 48-96h LD<sub>50</sub> (µg a.i./bee)





- Regulatory test designs (OECD methods) can be adapted to meet needs of a Discovery process (amount of test material needed, feasibility for testing numerous analogs)
- Discovery level Ecotox testing is contributing to the optimization/selection of new candidate molecules
- Challenges potential bee brood effects, prediction of effects on other pollinators, etc.





## **Nomenclature and General Properties**

Trade name: Common name: Chemical class: Code number: Molecular formula:

Structural formula:

Rynaxypyr® Chlorantraniliprole Anthranilic diamide DPX-E2Y45 C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>14</sub>BrCl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>





Molecular weight: Physical state (pure a.i.): Melting point (pure a.i.): Vapour pressure (20 °C): Part. Coeff. oct/w (Log P<sub>ow</sub>) (20 °C): Dissociation Constant (pK<sub>a</sub>) (20 °C): Solubility in water (20 °C): 483.15 g/mole fine crystalline off-white powder 208-210°C [(200-202°C (technical)]  $6.3 \times 10^{-12}$  Pa 2.76 (distilled water) 10.88 1.0 mg/L



### **Excellent Insect Receptor Selectivity**





# Honey Bee Risk Assessment

#### **EU - Hazard Quotient Approach**

- HQ = max. application rate (g a.i./ha) / LD<sub>50</sub> (µg a.i./bee)
- If HQoral and HQcontact are < 50, then acute safety to bees can be assumed based on historical comparison of lab data and field data with numerous different test substances. Otherwise higher tier testing is possible.
- If LD<sub>50</sub> >100 μg a.i./bee, product is considered non-toxic.

#### US categorizes hazard to honeybees as follows:

- LD<sub>50</sub> < 2 µg a.i./bee: <u>highly toxic</u>
- LD<sub>50</sub> ≥ 2-10.99 μg a.i./bee: moderately toxic
- LD<sub>50</sub> > 11 µg a.i./bee: practically non-toxic





## Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup> Safety to Honey Bees - Acute Exposure

Test material	Oral (μg Rynaxypyr <sup>®</sup> /bee)	Contact (μg Rynaxypyr®/bee)		
Coragen <sup>®</sup> 20SC	LD <sub>50</sub> = 117.8	LD <sub>50</sub> = 81.5		
	EU HQ = 0.5	EU HQ = 0.7 USA = PNT		
Altacor <sup>®</sup> 35WG	LD <sub>50</sub> > 119.2	LD <sub>50</sub> = 100		
	EU HQ < 0.5	EU HQ = 0.6 USA = PNT		



# EU Higher Tier Studies EPPO 170-3 *Phacelia* Tunnel Tests

- GLP tunnel tests Germany, Spain, France
- Coragen<sup>®</sup> Spray application during foraging activity





## EPPO 170-3 *Phacelia* Tunnel Tests Results – France 2006

 Coragen<sup>®</sup> tested at up to 60 g a.i./ha had no negative impact on the honey bee, *Apis mellifera* in several semi-field tunnel tests with flowering *Phacelia tanacetifolia*, when directly over-sprayed during foraging activity of honey bees (e.g. France 2006)



## EPPO 170-3 Phacelia Tunnel Tests

Test	Mortality	Behavioural effects	Impact on colony	
Germany, 2004 52.5 g a.i./ha <i>A. m. Carnica</i>	No significant increase in mortality compared to control	No inhibition of flight intensity or changes in individual behaviour compared to control	Colony strength not affected All brood stages present at on day +8	
Spain, 2004 52.5 g a.i./ha <i>A. m. Mellifera</i>			Colony strength not affected All brood stages present at on day +22	
France, 2006 60 g a.i./ha <i>A. m. Carnica</i>			Colony strength not affected All brood stages present at on day +7, +14, +22, +28	



# Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup> – Exposure Assessment

- EPPO 170-3 tunnel design, 3 treatments:
  - 1.) untreated control
  - 2.) soil application at Phacelia planting at 314 g Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup>/ha (6 weeks before flowering)
  - 3.) spray application during Phacelia flowering at 60 g Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup>/ha
- Bees of all treatments exposed in parallel to flowering Phacelia
- Residue sampling dates DAA -1, +1, +3, +7; (no DAA+3 inside hive)
- Residue samples taken pollen & nectar from forager bees, outside hive pollen, nectar and wax samples, inside hive



# Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup> – Exposure Assessment







# Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup> – Exposure Assessment

		Nectar from <u>Forager Bee</u> Stomachs	Pollen from <u>Forager Bee</u> Legs	Nectar from Bee combs inside <u>hive</u>	Pollen from Bee Combs inside <u>hive</u>	Wax from Bee Combs inside <u>hive</u>
Treatment	Collection Date	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
1.) Control - water	-1	0	0	0	0	0
sprayed onto	+1	0	0	0	0	0
foraging bees in	+3	0	0			
flowering Phacelia	+7	0	0	0	0	0
2.) 314 g ai/ha	-1	0	0	0	0	0
soil application	+1	0	0	0	0	0
at Phacelia	+3	0.0032	0.0010			
planting	+7	0	0.0018	0	0	0
3.) 60 g ai/ha spray	-1	0	0	0	0	0
onto <u>flowering</u>	+1	0.0330	2.601	0.0472	2.348	0.0105
Phacelia during	+3	0.0096	0.7633			
foraging activity	+7	0.0036	0.2643	0.0013	0.1080	0.0757

Low risk for honey bees via systemic uptake



## Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup> Bumble Bee Greenhouse Trial



## Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup> Bumble Bee Greenhouse Trial



# Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup> Bumble Bee Safety



# **Conclusions – Pollinator Safety**

Rynaxypyr<sup>®</sup> and its formulated products – Coragen<sup>®</sup> and Altacor<sup>®</sup> – are expected to present low risk for honey bees and bumble bees, because

- Low intrinsic honey bee toxicity
- Safety demonstrated in worst-case honey bee EPPO 170-3 & CEB 230 tunnel tests:
  - No increased mortality
  - No behavioral effects
  - No effects on honey bee brood
- Safety shown in worst-case bumble bee greenhouse tomato test

#### Also, low impact to beneficial arthropods Excellent IPM profile!

Dinter *et al.* 2008. Rynaxypyr & Beneficials. IOBC Bulletin 35: 128-136 Dinter et *al.* 2009. Rynaxypyr: Honeybees & Bumblebees. Julius-Kühn-Archiv 423: 84-96 Gradish *et al.* 2010. Rynaxypyr & *Bombus impatiens*. Pest Manag Sci 66: 142-146 Brugger et al. 2010 online. Selectivity of chlorantraniliprole to parasitoid wasps. Pest Manag Sci 66